

The McKell Institute

Discussion Paper

**The Impact of COVID-19 on
Women and Work in Victoria**

Research Insights

August, 2020

By *Ryan Batchelor*

Ryan Batchelor is the Executive Director of McKell Institute Victoria

Contents

<i>Introduction</i>	2
<i>Women and work during COVID-19</i>	2
Women are the essential workers of our Covid-19 response.....	2
Women are bearing the brunt of job losses.....	2
More Victorian women are unemployed than ever before	3
Work for women is less secure and lower paid	4
<i>Emergency policies haven't delivered enough</i>	5
JobKeeper falls short.....	5
Early access to superannuation further compounds the impact on women	5
<i>Pressure is increasing on households</i>	8
The future is not bright.....	9
<i>Addressing COVID-19s economic impact on women</i>	10
More support for childcare and early childhood education is needed	10
A national paid pandemic leave scheme is required	10
<i>Conclusion - the compounding effects on women</i>	11
<i>References</i>	12

Introduction

This insights paper attempts to synthesise publicly available data and research on the emerging impacts of COVID-19 on women and work in Victoria. Its purpose is to identify issues and trends, rather than discussed detailed policy solutions.

It has been prepared to support the Victorian Trades Hall Council's 'Women Workers Need' campaign to better address the needs of working women in Victoria during the COVID-19 pandemic and forward into our recovery.

Women and work during COVID-19

Women are the essential workers of our Covid-19 response

Women are at the frontline of our response to the coronavirus pandemic.

According to the Workplace Gender Equality Agency, nationally nearly 4 in 5 workers in health care and social assistance are women. That's our nurses, midwives, doctors, pharmacists, allied health, aged care, social workers, and community welfare workers. All keeping us safe during this pandemic.

Women are also on the frontline of many other industries keeping us going through our social restrictions, such as in retail trade, and those doing essential functions in public administration working around the clock on our public health teams and in community support agencies.


Women are bearing the brunt of job losses

Many female dominated industries (other than healthcare), such as accommodation and food services, and arts and recreation, have been among the hardest hit by job losses during the pandemic.

Since March 2020, there has been a 7.1% decline in the number of Victorian women in jobs, as measured by the Australian Bureau of Statistics collection of weekly payroll data.

And it's getting worse for Victorian women. In July, the rate of female job loss was almost five times the rate for men. This was before Melbourne's second lockdown, which will likely accelerate this trend.

Payroll jobs in Victoria Changes since COVID-19 outbreak began



Source: ABS. Cat. 6160.0.55.001 - Weekly Payroll Jobs and Wages in Australia

More Victorian women are unemployed than ever before


ABS monthly labour force data shows that we now have the highest number of Victorian women who are unemployed, ever.

Unemployed, females, Victoria, '000 (seasonally adjusted)



Source: ABS Cat. 6291.0.55.003 - Labour Force, Australia, Detailed, Quarterly, May 2020

Across Australia there are now three quarters of a million women receiving unemployment benefits – previously Newstart, now called JobSeeker – a number of that has more than doubled since December 2019.



Source: Department of Social Services

Work for women is less secure and lower paid

Women are more likely to have casual or insecure work than their male colleagues. The ABS reports that 27% of female employees did not have paid leave entitlements, compared with 23% of men. The top industries for casual employment are accommodation and food services, retail trade, and health care and social assistance: all female dominated industries.

Even before the pandemic struck, women in work were going backwards.

Analysis of ABS labour force data by Clare O'Neil MP, released to the McKell Institute Victoria in late June 2020, shows that the number of underemployed women doubled between the Global Financial Crisis and before the coronavirus pandemic.

In 2020 Australia's national gender pay gap is 14.0%.

Latest analysis by WGEA based on ABS data shows that Australian women's average weekly ordinary full-time earnings (across all industries and occupations) was \$1,558.40 compared to \$1,812.00 for men.

Emergency policies haven't delivered enough

To their credit, the Morrison Government took early action to support jobs as the crisis hit. Policies never before contemplated by a conservative government were temporarily implemented, such as a broad-based wage subsidy – JobKeeper – and free childcare. However, a mix of poor policy design (the early access to superannuation program), and ill-considered decisions (cessation of free childcare and ending JobKeeper in early childhood centres; proposed HECS increases) have not been supportive of many women across our community.

JobKeeper falls short

The original design of the JobKeeper program excluded many casuals from its support, and as women are more likely than men to be employed on a casual basis were disproportionately affected. Many industries with high levels of female employment were excluded from JobKeeper, such as local government (55% female workforce in Victoria), and universities (58% female workforce, national).

According to the Commonwealth Treasury, in April 2020 47.1% of JobKeeper recipients were women, while they comprise 44% of the private sector labour market. WGEA estimates that women comprise 47% of all employed persons in Australia.

Changes to the original design of the JobKeeper program may increase negative effects on women.


The first industry to be removed from the Commonwealth's JobKeeper program was childcare, and industry that is 95% female.

Rate reductions from late September 2020 will reduce the flat rate paid to part time employees, who are more likely to be female.

Early access to superannuation further compounds the impact on women

Giving superannuation account holders greater ability to make early withdrawals has been a feature of the Morrison Government's immediate response to the pandemic. An individual's ability to access their superannuation accounts before the preservation age has previously been possible only in very limited circumstances such as serious illness.

But the Morrison Government has let early access to superannuation do more heavy lifting to support consumer spending and economic stimulus than the traditional automatic stabilisers in the economy, such as unemployment benefits. The Reserve Bank of Australia noted in its last Statement on Monetary policy that early access contributed more to supporting household income than unemployment benefits and similar payments.



Source: Reserve Bank of Australia (2020) Statement on Monetary Policy: August

While there are short term benefits to sustaining household income during the crisis, there will be long term consequences, especially for women in their retirement.

As at 26 July 2020, the Commonwealth Treasury advised the Senate Select Committee on COVID-19 that 1,157,300 women had made an application to access their superannuation early. In addition, 1,478,600 men had also made an application.

In June, the superannuation fund HESTA analysed early access withdrawals from its predominantly female members.

They found that members aged between 18-24 had virtually drained their accounts, leaving a median account balance of just \$1049, a median decrease of around 78%.

HESTA members aged 25-39 were left with a median balance of \$3609, a decrease of 68%.

Around 62% of female HESTA members who claimed early access applied for the full amount.

Analysis by economists at AlphaBeta showed that a 20-year-old who accesses the full \$20,000 (over the two financial years) could forgo more than \$120,000 at retirement.

According to the ABS, the median superannuation balance for women approaching retirement is currently just \$118,000.

Many women have no superannuation at all. More than 23 per cent of women have no superannuation coverage, compared with 20% for men. This is because casual workers, and those who work for multiple employers, are less likely to meet the 15 hour per week threshold for compulsory superannuation guarantee payments to be made.

Policies that make access to superannuation easier will have negative effects on women's financial security in retirement.

Pressure is increasing on households

... Financial stress is rising

A quarter of women are financially stressed.

23.6% of women surveyed by the Melbourne Institute said they were stressed by current financial conditions in terms of paying for essential goods and services.

... Female consumer spending is lower

The Melbourne Institute found 50.7% of women spent less than pre-pandemic levels, compared to 40.2% of men.

... 'Working from home' or 'living at work'?

According to the Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS) the proportion of people always working from home rose from 7% before COVID-19 to 60% during the pandemic.

For parents, it was 60% of mothers and 41% of fathers who always worked from home.

While parents worked from home, 40% always or often 'actively' cared for children during work.

The AIFS study found minimal impact of working from home on the gendered nature of care in the home: the only noticeable change was that equal sharing increased for parents of children under 3 years old.

But still only around 10% of fathers took the primary carer role.

Surveys by the Melbourne Institute shows that people with children living at home are more likely to be vulnerable to financial stress and/or mental distress.

... and there are mental health effects

The Melbourne Institute survey also showed that around 47% of women reported feeling depressed or anxious during the last week - 30% some of the time; 17% most of the time.

The future is not bright

During the 1990s recession one of the impacts of increasing unemployment was a retreat into education for young Australians.

Modelling of the effects of the 1990s recession by the National Centre for Vocational Education Research (NCVER) showed that young people respond to deteriorating economic conditions by 'retreating' into full time education. In that recession, for those over 18 years of age the modelling showed that a one-point increase in the unemployment rate was associated with a 1.3 percentage point increase in full time post school education.

When times are tough, young people head into education, which has positive short- and long-term effects. Yet current Commonwealth Government policy is making it more expensive to attend university for thousands of prospective students. This is not sensible policy for a nation in recession.

Higher education debt is a burden that women will carry for longer. Current changes to student contribution amounts for university courses proposed by the Morrison Government mean that many women will graduate from higher education with more debt, and already face lower starting salaries, assuming work is available. The median starting salary for female graduates is \$3,000 a year lower (or nearly 5%) than for male graduates.

Addressing COVID-19s economic impact on women

More support for childcare and early childhood education is needed


A national survey by The Parenthood, a leading national advocacy group for parents, showed that the withdrawal of support for the early childhood sector, including the removal of free childcare, could have a serious negative effect on workforce participation.

A third of parents (32%) surveyed will need to reduce days or remove their children altogether if their childcare fees return to pre-COVID levels. This increases nearly two thirds (63%) among parents who have already lost income as a result of the pandemic.

Access to childcare is a key enabler of women's workforce participation. Any recovery must be built from the foundation of maximising all women's ability to work, and this needs to include accessible and affordable childcare and early childhood education for children.

A national paid pandemic leave scheme is required

Percentage of Workforce With No Paid Leave by Industry



Source: ABS Cat. 6333.0 - Characteristics of Employment, Australia

The lack of universal Paid Pandemic Leave has left many women vulnerable to the financial pressures of working while exposed to Covid-19. This has not only impacted their health, but the health of their colleagues and the wider community.

Analysis by the [McKell Institute](#) shows that the cost of implementing paid pandemic leave would be a fraction of the cost of COVID-19 to the Australian economy.

Conclusion - the compounding effects on women

The COVID-19 recession is hurting women.

Unlike the 1990s recession, women are losing work at a faster rate than men. Women entered this pandemic facing more precarious employment with higher rates of casual employment, lower pay, and higher levels of underemployment.

Women across the labour market are being affected, and few appear to be immune.

Women working on the frontline and in essential roles across a range of industries are facing the daily pressure of working through a dangerous pandemic and managing increasingly complex daily lives through social restrictions.

Women who are able to work from home are seeing increased stresses from working, living and caring in their homes, all day, every day.

Women who have lost work – entirely or partially – are facing increasing economic stress and the uncertainty of a deteriorating employment outlook.

These pressures compound on all women, and manifest in a range of ways.

The personal and community impacts are significant and will only get worse the longer the economic downturn continues. These impacts are being compounded in by policy action in the short term, such as JobKeeper program design choices and the decision to end free childcare, and for the long term, such as early access to superannuation which may leave more women in poverty in their retirement.

A program of comprehensive government support is required for our recovery that will create and sustain jobs over the medium to long term and the design of that support must take account of the particular impacts this crisis is having on women.

References

Australian Bureau of Statistics – www.abs.gov.au

4125.0 - Gender Indicators, Australia

6160.0.55.001 - Weekly Payroll Jobs and Wages in Australia

6202.0 - Labour Force, Australia

6291.0.55.003 - Labour Force, Australia, Detailed, Quarterly, May 2020

6333.0 - Characteristics of Employment, Australia

Charlton, A (2020) “You may have just had the most expensive coffee of your life” *Sydney Morning Herald*, 1 June 2020, <https://www.smh.com.au/national/you-may-have-just-had-the-most-expensive-coffee-of-your-life-20200530-p54xys.html>

Department of Education, Skills and Employment (2020), *Higher Education Statistics*, various resources at <https://www.education.gov.au/higher-education-statistics>

Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (2020) *Gender equity in local government: Research Companion*, https://www.localgovernment.vic.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0029/329285/Gender-Equity-in-Local-Government-Research-Companion.pdf

Department of Social Services, *JobSeeker Payment and Youth Allowance recipients – monthly profile*, and *DSS Payment Demographic Data*, <https://data.gov.au/data/organization/department-of-social-services>

Hand, K., Baxter, J., Carroll, M., & Budinski, M. (2020). *Families in Australia Survey: Life during COVID-19 Report no. 1: Early findings*. Melbourne: Australian Institute of Family Studies.

HESTA (2020) *Early release super scheme sees younger women drain super*, media release 19 June 2020 at <https://www.hesta.com.au/about-us/media-centre/Early-release-super-scheme-sees-younger-women-drain-super-HESTA.html>

The University of Melbourne, Melbourne Institute: Applied Economic & Social Research, *Taking the Pulse of the Nation*, 2020, at <https://melbourneinstitute.unimelb.edu.au/data/covid-19-tracker>

O’Neil, Clare., MP (2020) *Australian Women and the Future of Work*, speech to the McKell Institute Victoria digital events series, 26 June 2020. <https://www.clareoneil.com/media/speeches/australian-women-and-the-future-of-work/>

The Parenthood, (2020) *60 percent of Australian families will have a parent forced to reduce work when childcare fees return & 68 percent will be women, survey of 2,200 Australian families reveals*, media release, 1 June 2020 at <http://www.theparenthood.org.au/media>

Reserve Bank of Australia (2020) *Statement on Monetary Policy: August 2020*, 6 August 2020 at <https://www.rba.gov.au/publications/smp/2020/aug/pdf/statement-on-monetary-policy-2020-08.pdf>

Senate Select Committee on COVID-19 (2020) *Answers to Questions on Notice* at https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/COVID-19/COVID19/Additional_Documents?docType=Answer%20to%20Question%20on%20Notice

Treasury, (2020) *The JobKeeper Payment: Three month review*, Commonwealth of Australia, https://treasury.gov.au/sites/default/files/2020-07/jobkeeper-review-2020_0.pdf

Vu, H. et al (2012) *How did young people fare in the 1990s economic downturn?* National Centre for Vocational Education Research, at <https://www.ncver.edu.au/research-and-statistics/publications/all-publications/how-did-young-people-fare-in-the-1990s-economic-downturn>

Workplace Gender Equality Agency, data explorer, at <https://data.wgea.gov.au/>

Workplace Gender Equality Agency (2020), *Australia's Gender Pay Gap Statistics 2020*, 17 August 2020 at <https://www.wgea.gov.au/data/fact-sheets/australias-gender-pay-gap-statistics-2020>